



## Solutions

1.

<b>x</b>	0	1	2	3	≥4	<b>M1</b>
<b>O<sub>i</sub></b>	17	31	19	14	19	
<b>E<sub>i</sub></b>	12.2	27.0	28.5	19.0	13.3	
$\frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$	1.89	0.59	3.17	1.32	2.44	
$\sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = 9.41$					AWRT 9.4	<b>M1A1</b>
$v = 5 - 2 = 3$						<b>B1</b>
$\chi^2_3 (5\%) = 7.815$						<b>B1</b>
<p>H<sub>0</sub>: Binomial distribution is a good/suitable model/fit                      [Condone: B(20, 0.1) is...]</p> <p>H<sub>1</sub>: Binomial distribution is not a suitable model</p> <p>(for hypotheses) allow just “X ~ B(20, 0.1)” for null etc.</p> <p>(Significant result) Binomial distribution is not a suitable model</p>						<b>both B1</b>
						<b>A1</b>





## Solutions

1a.

$r = 27.07$	<b>A1</b>
$s = 18.04$	<b>A1</b>

1b.

$H_0$ : A Poisson model $Po(2)$ is a suitable model. $H_1$ : A Poisson model $Po(2)$ is not a suitable model.	<b>Both</b> <b>B1</b>
Amalgamate data	<b>M1</b>
$\sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = 3.28$ (awrt)	<b>M1A1</b>
$\nu = 6 - 1 = 5$	<b>B1</b>
$\chi^2_5$ (5 %) = 11.070 (follow through their degrees of freedom)	<b>B1</b>
$3.25 < 11.070$ There is insufficient evidence to reject $H_0$ , $Po(2)$ is a suitable model.	<b>A1</b>





## Solutions

1.

$H_0$ : Choice independent of gender					<b>B1</b>
	<b>Squash</b>	<b>Badminton</b>	<b>Archery</b>	<b>Hockey</b>	<b>M1</b>
<b>Male</b>	5/3.5	16/14	30/24.5	19/28	
<b>Female</b>	4/3.5	20/22	33/38.5	53/44	
Combine Squash and Badminton					<b>M1</b>
	<b>Squash &amp; Badminton</b>	<b>Archery</b>	<b>Hockey</b>		<b>M1</b> <b>M1</b>
<b>Male</b>	21/17.5	30/24.5	19/28		
<b>Female</b>	24/27.5	33/38.5	53/44		
$\chi^2$ values					<b>M1</b>
	<b>Squash &amp; Badminton</b>	<b>Archery</b>	<b>Hockey</b>		
<b>Male</b>	0.7000	1.2347	2.8928		
<b>Female</b>	0.4455	0.7857	1.8409		
$\chi^2_{\text{calc}} = 7.90$					<b>A1</b>
$\nu = 2$					<b>B1</b>
$\chi^2_{5\%}(2) = 5.991$					<b>B1</b>
Reject $H_0$ Sufficient evidence, at the 1% level of significance, to support an association between the choice of sport and gender					<b>A1</b>





## Solutions

1.

$H_0: X \sim \text{Geo}(0.5)$ is a suitable model $H_1: X \sim \text{Geo}(0.5)$ is not a suitable model					<b>B1</b>										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"><tr><td style="padding: 5px;"><b><math>x</math></b></td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">1</td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">2</td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><math>\geq 3</math></td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><b>Total</b></td></tr><tr><td style="padding: 5px;"><b>Expected frequency <math>E_x</math></b></td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">50</td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">25</td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">25</td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">100</td></tr></table>					<b><math>x</math></b>	1	2	$\geq 3$	<b>Total</b>	<b>Expected frequency <math>E_x</math></b>	50	25	25	100	<b>M1</b>
<b><math>x</math></b>	1	2	$\geq 3$	<b>Total</b>											
<b>Expected frequency <math>E_x</math></b>	50	25	25	100											
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"><tr><td style="padding: 5px;"><b><math>x</math></b></td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">1</td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">2</td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><math>\geq 3</math></td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><b>Total</b></td></tr><tr><td style="padding: 5px;"><math>\frac{(O_x - E_x)^2}{E_x}</math></td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">0.72</td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">0.16</td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">2.56</td><td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">3.44</td></tr></table>					<b><math>x</math></b>	1	2	$\geq 3$	<b>Total</b>	$\frac{(O_x - E_x)^2}{E_x}$	0.72	0.16	2.56	3.44	<b>M1</b>
<b><math>x</math></b>	1	2	$\geq 3$	<b>Total</b>											
$\frac{(O_x - E_x)^2}{E_x}$	0.72	0.16	2.56	3.44											
$X^2 = 3.44$															
$v = 3 - 1 = 2$					<b>B1</b>										
$\chi^2_2(5\%) = 5.991$					<b>B1</b>										
Since $5.991 > 3.44$ there is insufficient evidence to reject $H_0$ at the 5% level $X \sim \text{Geo}(0.5)$ is a suitable model for the data.					<b>A1</b>										







## Solutions

1a.

$p = \frac{1}{\bar{x}}$ $\bar{x} = \frac{\sum fx}{\sum f} = \frac{(34 \times 1) + (22 \times 2) + (14 \times 3) + (18 \times 4) + (12 \times 5)}{100} = 2.52$	<b>M1</b>
$p = \frac{1}{2.52} \approx 0.397$	<b>A1</b>

1b.

$H_0$ : A geometric distribution is a suitable model $H_1$ : A geometric distribution is not a suitable model							<b>B1</b>														
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <tr> <th style="padding: 5px;"><math>x</math></th> <th style="padding: 5px;">1</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">2</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">3</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">4</th> <th style="padding: 5px;"><math>\geq 5</math></th> <th style="padding: 5px;">Total</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="padding: 5px;">Expected frequency <math>E_i</math></th> <td style="padding: 5px;">39.7</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">23.9</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">14.4</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">8.7</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">13.3</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">100</td> </tr> </table>							$x$	1	2	3	4	$\geq 5$	Total	Expected frequency $E_i$	39.7	23.9	14.4	8.7	13.3	100	<b>M1</b>
$x$	1	2	3	4	$\geq 5$	Total															
Expected frequency $E_i$	39.7	23.9	14.4	8.7	13.3	100															
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <tr> <th style="padding: 5px;"><math>x</math></th> <th style="padding: 5px;">1</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">2</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">3</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">4</th> <th style="padding: 5px;"><math>\geq 5</math></th> <th style="padding: 5px;">Total</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="padding: 5px;"><math>\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}</math></th> <td style="padding: 5px;">0.818</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">0.151</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">0.011</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">9.941</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">0.127</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">11.048</td> </tr> </table>							$x$	1	2	3	4	$\geq 5$	Total	$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$	0.818	0.151	0.011	9.941	0.127	11.048	<b>M1</b>
$x$	1	2	3	4	$\geq 5$	Total															
$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$	0.818	0.151	0.011	9.941	0.127	11.048															
$X^2 = 11.048$																					
$v = 5 - 1 = 4$							<b>B1</b>														
$\chi_4^2(2.5\%) = 11.143$							<b>B1</b>														
Since $11.143 > 11.048$ there is insufficient evidence to reject $H_0$ at the 2.5% level. Geometric distribution is a suitable model for the data.							<b>A1</b>														

